IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Meleik Lamont Roach,)
Plaintiff,) C.A. No. 4:11-00356-TLW-TER
VS.	ORDER
William Monckton, III,)
Defendant.)
)

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that the complaint in the above-captioned case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. # 14). The Report was filed on March 3, 2011. On March 16, 2011, plaintiff filed objections to the Report. (Doc. # 16).

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections. . . . The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

4:11-cv-00356-TLW Date Filed 05/13/11 Entry Number 18 Page 2 of 2

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F.Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations

omitted).

In light of this standard, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections

thereto. The Court accepts the Report.

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the

applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that

the Magistrate Judge's Report is ACCEPTED (Doc. # 14), plaintiff's objections are overruled

(Doc.# 16), and the complaint in the above-captioned case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and

without issuance and service of process

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 13, 2011

Florence, South Carolina

2